Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) in vitro micropropagation
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Abstract
This research was undertaken to determine chickpea in vitro micropropagation optimal conditions from preexisting meristems. Three genotypes; Zouaoui, ILC 483 and INRA 199 mature embryos and nodes were cultivated on Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium without plant growth regulators and with NAA (Naphtyl acetic acid) /BAP (Benzyl amino purine) (0.5/4.5mg/l) and KIN (Kinetin) (0.5, 1mg/l). Explant morphogenetic response was recorded after one month incubation. Results were expressed as direct regeneration frequency (shoot and root development from embryos and axillary buds from nodes). Zouaoui genotype was more callogenic (42.57%) than INRA 199 (32.12%) and ILC 483 (23.43%). Among media, MS without hormones was suitable to induce preexisting meristems development (76.16%), whereas MS with NAA/BAP was favorable to callus formation (70.95%). Indirect buds formation was accomplished on MS added with 0.5mg/l KIN via callusing on mature embryos.
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Introduction
Chickpea is an important grain legume cultivated worldwide on more than 12 million ha (FAOSTAT, 2012) and representing an important and available protein, phosphorus, iron and soluble vitamins source. However, its production is limited due to many biotic and abiotic stresses. Conventional plant selection methods remain insufficient and must be assisted by biotechnology tools. This may open new opportunities thought tissue culture. Particular chickpea recalcitrant nature to in vitro culture, a reliable micropropagation protocol is prerequisite for further genetic transformation and stress resistant plants selection (Ochatt et al. 2010).

The objective of the present work was to study mature embryos and nodes behavior when cultured in vitro to develop and establish a reproducible plant regeneration system in chickpea. This could be extended for genetic transformation.

Materials and Methods
Seeds of three chickpea genotypes namely, Zouaoui, ILC 483 and INRA 199 were used to provide explants. Mature embryonic axes were excised from imbibed from 24h soaked seeds at 4°C to avoid their germination. Nodal meristems were cut from 03 weeks old plants cultured on autoclaved peat sand mixture (3:1), (v/v) under green house. The explants were disinfected by immersion in 70% ethanol solution for 30 seconds, then 2 % sodium hypochlorite solution for 01 minute and finally rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. Thereafter, they were cultured on full strength MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) containing 2% sucrose with pH adjusted at 5.8 and solidified with 7% of agar.

Morphogenetic response of both explant types was studied on MS alone (MS0) and when adding exogenous plant growth regulators M14 (NAA, Naphytal acetic acid, /BAP Benzyl amino purine, 0.5/4.5mg/l), K1 (KIN kinetin 0.5mg/l) and K2 (KIN 1 mg/l).

Petri dishes containing medium and 8 explants were incubated at 25°C±2 under 16h luminous / 8h darkness photoperiod regime.

Tests were conducted in completely randomized block (3 genotypes X 02 explants X 03 medium) with 4 replications. After one month morphological response was recorded and results subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean differences were compared pairwise using the Duncan multiple comparison test (Statistica version 5, Statistica Software Inc.)
Results

Explants response occurred 05 days (Fig.1a) after culturing. Even mature embryos and nodes swelled and after they were oriented to distinct morphological changes, organogenesis (Fig 1b) and callogenesis (Fig 1c).

Some of embryonic axes showed shoot and root development from the preexisting meristems and others formed calluses. Nodes explants presented new axillary bud development and in many cases callus formation. After one month data was recorded for mature embryos and nodes morphogenetic response (Fig.2).

Figure 1. Morphogenetic response, beginning on embryos (a), organogenesis (b), and callogenesis (c)

Figure 2. Effect of different hormonal combinations on morphogenetic response of three Chickpea genotypes mature embryos and node explants
Table 1. Different Morphogenetic response means analyses of variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Organogenesis %</th>
<th>Callogenesis %</th>
<th>Embryogenic callus %</th>
<th>Buds number per callus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype (G)</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.0009*</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (M)</td>
<td>25.51</td>
<td>0.0000*</td>
<td>55.25</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explant (E)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.0027*</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.0049*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GxMxE</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.0004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p<0.05

Regarding genotype, means of explants giving organogenesis were not significantly different, whereas in callogenesis, highest rate was obtained with Zouaoui (42.57%) compared to INRA199 (32.12%) and ILC483 (23.43%)(Tab 2).

Table 2. Chickpea genotype effect in vitro morphogenetic response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zouaoui</th>
<th>ILC 483</th>
<th>INRA199</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organogenesis (%)</td>
<td>52.73 a</td>
<td>59.76 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callogenesis (%)</td>
<td>42.57 a</td>
<td>23.43 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryogenic callus (%)</td>
<td>1.56 a</td>
<td>0.78 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buds number / callus</td>
<td>1.95 a</td>
<td>0.39 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lines followed by same letters are not significantly different at p<0.05

Referring to media, results were significantly different at p ≤ 0.05. MSO was favorable to induce highest rate of organogenesis (76.16%) than K1 (45.3%) and M14 (26.04%). This medium was more suitable to promote callogenesis (Tab.3).

Table 3. Culture medium effect on chickpea in vitro culture morphogenetic response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSO</th>
<th>M14</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>K2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organogenesis (%)</td>
<td>76.16 a</td>
<td>26.1 b</td>
<td>45.3 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callogenesis (%)</td>
<td>14.58 a</td>
<td>71 b</td>
<td>36.9 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryogenic callus</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>3.12 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buds number / callus</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>3.12 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lines followed by same letters are not significantly different at p<0.05

Generally, embryonic axes showed higher capacity of either organogenesis (55.88%) or callogenesis (36.52%) compared to nodes where 50.78% of explants were able to develop shoots and 28.90% produced calluses (Tab.4).

Table 4. Explant type effect on chickpea in vitro culture morphogenetic response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mature embryos</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organogenesis (%)</td>
<td>55.88 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callogenesis (%)</td>
<td>36.52 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryogenic callus (%)</td>
<td>1.56 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buds number / callus</td>
<td>0.39 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lines followed by same letters are not significantly different at p<0.05

Obtained callus varied from green hydrated and friable when cultured on MSO and M14 to beige nodular and compact on K1. After subculturing on same fresh media, these occasionally showed buds initiation, with apparent leaf premordia. (Fig3) Analyse of variance revealed that this formation is essentially influenced by hormonal combination added to medium. Results obtained on different tested medium were significantly different and MS added with 0.5mg/ l kinetin showed highest capacity to induce indirect embryogenesis from chickpea mature embryos.
Discussion

This research was undertaken to establish optimal chickpea in vitro micropropagation, qualified as recalcitrant by many researchers. (Naz et al., 2008; Anwar et al., 2010; Zamane et al., 2010). Three chickpea genotypes (Zouaoui, INRA 199 and ILC 483) embryos and nodes in vitro morphogenetic response was studied on MS culture medium alone or supplemented with exogenous hormones. Results showed that they are mostly oriented to pre-existing meristems development or to cells dedifferentiation then callogenesis. This is influenced by several factors namely genotype, explant type and culture medium (Yadav et al., 2012). Indeed, under current adopted experimental conditions, results showed these three factors significant effect.

Regarding genotype, Zouaoui, ILC 483 and INRA 199 exhibited relatively NAAlogous organogenesis capacity with respectively 52.73 %, 59.76 % and 47.50 %. Similar results were reported with several cumin genotypes embryos and nodes (Ebraheimi et al., 2007) and in different soybean cultivars (Texiera et al., 2012).

For callogenesis, data indicated significant difference between genotypes response where 42.5 % of Zouaoui explants formed calluses. Khan et al. (2011) indicated that different genotypes when cultured in vitro, expressed variable callogenetic capacity even subjected to same experimental conditions. This is observed in chickpea callogenesis (Rao and Chopra, 1987; Islam et al., 1998; Khan et al, 2011), or other species (Ali et al., 2007; Sané et al., 2012). According to Sani and Mustapha (2010), it may be due to genotype physiological characteristics. In vitro cultured explant behavior control is essentially based on exogenous applied hormones nature an concentrations. Altaf et al. (1999) suggested that in tissue culture, hormones choice is oriented according to targeted morphogenetic response, used tissue and its metabolic statute. Auxins and cytokinins are two well-known hormones used to obtain callogenesis or organogenesis, acting in synergy or antagonism (Zrýd, 1988). MS culture media used alone or added with hormones exhibited different rates either in direct organogenesis and callogenesis for all tested genotype explants. MS without added hormones allowed 76.16% of cultured explants to express their organogenic ability. Kilikova et al. (2004) indicated that seedling in vitro development is mainly bases on endogenous hormones stock. Otherwise, when adding combined auxin and cytokinin in M14, explants are mostly oriented to callus formation. Comparable findings were motioned for different chickpea explant in vitro
culture (Sagare et al., 1993; Huda et al, 2003; Aasim et al. 2011) and other leguminosas (Krishna et al., 2011). Different explants have variable in vitro capacity (Nunes et al., 2003). Generally embryonic axes were more reactive than nodes either for direct organogenesis or callogenesis.

Explant type and probably its anatomic structure and reactivity with media components are in vitro morphogenetic divergence source (Zouzou et al., 2008).

Furthermore, obtained calluses after subculturing on same fresh media, evolved differently. Only those formed on MS supplemented with 0.5mg/l KIN showed buds formation with apparent leaf primordial. Arora and Chawla (2005) noted that chickpea indirect regeneration need exogenous cytokinin application, used at optimal low concentration (Weerakoon, 2010). MS medium with higher concentration of KIN 1mg/l (K2) was unfavorable for buds formation. Data showed that this was significantly related explant type and enhanced by culture medium, which is often reported in indirect organogenesis (Ebraheimi et al., 2007). However, it’s important to notify that for these experimental conditions, buds formation was genotype independent. This result is especially interesting for genetic transformation where non genetic dependent regenerations are required to avoid phenotypic anomalies and cytogenetic changes (Kumar et al, 2013).

**Conclusion**

Chickpea tissue culture morphogenetic response depends on genotype tissue nature and culture medium. Mature embryos or nodes can be oriented to shoot and root development or callogenesis. Buds initiation from callus can be obtained from embryos when cultured on MS supplemented with 0,5 mg/l KIN. However, it would be interesting to test more hormonal combinations to increase their number and improve this protocol to achieve plantlet development and acclimation.
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